JOURNAL OF DEGRADED AND MINING LANDS MANAGEMENT
ISSN: 2339-076X (p); 2502-2458 (e), Volume 4, Number 3 (April 2017): 807-814
DOI:10.15243/jdmlm.2017.043.807

Review

Revegetating Bagacay Mining Site: A review of potential tropical species for phytoremediation of non-essential heavy metals

John Rhey Ymas Dayang*

College of Graduate Studies, Samar State University, Catbalogan City, 6700 Samar, Philippines

*corresponding author: minerongpinoy@gmail.com

Abstract: Post-mining activities in Samar left serious environmental issues. Albeit it is used to provide prosperity to its constituents, mining in the area brought with it negative impacts. Bagacay Mine, an abandoned mining area in the province was left with enourmous amount of heavy metals. This include As (6-693 ppm), Cu (9-5,279), Pb (22-354 ppm), Hg (1-5 ppm), Zn (<1-7,138 ppm) and Fe (5,900-373,500 ppm). The area was then reforested with *Swietenia macrophylla*, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Acacia mangium*, *Bambusa blumeana* and *Thysanolaena maxima* but only 1 percent survived. This paper touches the nature and effects of the non-essential heavy metals and metalloids present in the area as well as the mechanism of phytoextraction. Additionally, tropical metallophytes which can be used for phytoremediation activities in the future were introduced and reviewed.

***Keywords**: heavy metals, Bagacay mine, metallophytes, phytoremediation*

Introduction

Post mining activities in Samar left serious environmental issues. Albeit it used to provide prosperity to its constituents (Holden, 2012), mining in the area brought with it negative impacts. Twenty-four years after it was abandoned, bagacay mine turned into a great example of destructed nature. By the time it was abandoned, there were no existing firm policies relative to remediation and rehabilitation of mining sites prior to its closure. As justified, the bagacay mine was left with enormous amount of heavy metals. When left in contact with the soil, these heavy metals are considered to be the worst kind of pollutants. Its presence hinders the normal functioning of soil ecosystems and plant growth (Khan et al., 2008). These made the area barren and still devoid of vegetation.

In 2004, the National Policy Agenda on the Revitalization of Mining in the Philippines stressed to prioritize the remediation and rehabilitation of abandoned mines of which the Bagacay Mine ranked first. An initial assessment was conducted and soil samples revealed that the area was contaminated with very high levels of Iron (Fe), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn), Lead (Pb) and Arsenic (As). Samples on the midstream and downstream portions of the area were also discovered to contain rather considerable amount of Mercury (Hg). In 2005, a reforestation activity was conducted. Said reforestation made use of plants such as Mahogany (*Swietenia macrophylla),* Ipil-ipil *(Leucaena leucocephala),* Mangium *(Acacia mangium),* Bamboo *(Bambusa blumeana) and* Tigergrass *(Thysanolaena maxima).* In the end, the measure undertaken resulted to around 1% survival rate of the species planted (MGB-MESD, 2006). To note, the previous activity conducted uses the concept of phytoremediation. However, direct reforestation of said plants on the area would still be in question for the following reasons: (a) although, species selected in the reforestation were proven to thrive in low-nutrient soils, the implementing agency failed to conduct a review on each species' performance on heavy metal contaminated soil; (b) the soil samples revealed that the area do not just contain excessive essential metals but a combination of diverse essential and toxic metals or metalloids in substantial amount. The existence of these toxic pollutants impedes the survival of the plants in the area. Therefore, addressing a solution to this context is a practical imperative.

This study reviewed the effects of the non-essential heavy metals present in the abandoned mine. Considerable heavy metal tolerance of plants with high metal accumulation capacity would make the phytoremediation efficient (Davies, 2013). Thus, heavy metal tolerant and hyperaccumulator species used for phytoremediating mines in the tropics that can potentially bring successful phytoremediation projects in the area were also looked into. In general, the study provides prudent aid for the phytoremediation measures to be implemented in the future.

Materials and Methods

The paper is all based on secondary information using the systematic review as defined by Zurynski (2014). The review involves identification of publications, focusing on those which are relevant to the thesis statement, critical appraisal of publications, analyses of data reported in publications being used and combining results from relevant publications.

The paper uses open source peer-reviewed publications specifically those which are related to phytoremediation studies. On the other hand, the paper has also used literature published in the including news articles to enrich discussions about the issues regarding the subject under assessment. Publication date was not considered a criterion.

Results and Discussion

Bagacay mine contaminants

According to MGB-MESD (2006), Bagacay mine contains enormous amounts of heavy metals. This includes As (6-693 ppm), Cu (9-5,279 ppm), Pb (22-354 ppm), Hg (1-5 ppm), Zn (<1-7,138 ppm) and Fe (5,900-373,500 ppm) with first five belonging to metals and metalloids commonly subjected to phytoremediation (phytoextraction) which uses hyperaccumulators (Ensley, 2000).

Phytoremediation

Phytoremediation was derived from the greek word "phyton" meaning "plant" and "remedium" meaning "to correct". It is defined as any remediation method (e.g. phytoextraction, phytovolatization) that uses plants to mitigate pollutant concentrations and remove, degrade or extract toxic substances in contaminated environment (Arbaoui et al., 2013; Dickinson et al., 2009; Prasad et al., 2004; Salt et al., 1998). This green technology is being widely used in the present because of its overall cost effectiveness (Watanabe, 1997; Salt et al., 1998; Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001). Although it is cost effective, phytoremediation carries with it some disadvantages which need to be considered when applying this technology as shown in Table 1.

Phytoextraction

Phytoextraction is a phytoremediation technique that uses pollutant accumulating plants. Such plants extract and translocate pollutants to its above ground parts. The plants concentrate the soil contaminants in their above ground biomass, so that the contaminant-enriched biomass can be properly disposed or harvested. It is the most difficult yet the most effective phytoremediation technique and works best with the use of hyperaccumulators (Kramer, 2005). It is mainly applied to metals like Cadmium (Cd), Nickel (Ni), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn) and Lead (Pb) but can also be used for other elements like Arsenic (As) and organic compounds (McGrath, 1998).

Non-essential heavy metals

The term ''heavy metals'' have been commonly refered to as the metallic elements with relatively high density and is toxic or poisonous even at low concentration (Lenntech Water Treatment and Air Purification, 2004) But, technically, heavy metals are those elements with molecular mass greater than 5.0 g/cm^3 (Sherameti and Varma, 2011). Heavy metals like iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), Copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), cobalt (Co) and molybdenum (Mo) are essential for growth of organisms with the first three present in the Bagacay mine. Other heavy metals like lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), cadmium (Cd), uranium (U), thallium (Tl), chromium (Cr) and silver (Ag), with first two present in Bagacay mine, are toxic to organisms and are therefore considered as "non-essential" heavy metals. Arsenic (As), which is also present in the area, and selenium (Se) are non-heavy metals. However, since they share toxicity features with heavy metals, these two elements are usually referred to as "metalloids" in some publications.

Arsenic

Arsenic (As) is a ubiquitous trace metalloid that can be derived from both anthropogenic and natural inputs. It is toxic and carcinogenic, which has caused severe environmental and health problem worldwide (Gonzaga et al., 2006). Arsenic concentration only lies below 10 ppm in a non-contaminated soil (Adriano, 1986; Matschullat, 2000) and can reach up to 30,000 ppm in a contaminated soil (Vaughan, 1993). Concentration higher than 40 ppm may pose a threat to human and is already critical for plant species like rice (*Oryza sativa*) (Sheppard, 1992; Dudka and Miller, 1999). Arsenic is commonly associated with ores (e.g. copper, lead and gold) and can be released during mining and smelting processes (Rathinasabapathi et al., 2006; Adriano, 2001).The most important arsenic ores are arsenical pyrite or arsenopyrite (FeAsS), Realgar (AsS), and Orpiment (As_2S_3) (Gonzaga et al., 2006). Although no study has been conducted in tracing its origin, it can be said that the most probable source of arsenic is the enormous amount of ores in the Bagacay Mine. Most parts of the site were also observed to be barren. Presence of arsenic in the area contributes to this situation. Arsenic, in its other oxidation state, can be a phosphate analog. Its chemical behavior is akin to that of phosphorus. In all of its studies so far, arsenate is transported via phosphate transport pathways (Asher and Reay, 1979; Lee, 1982; Meharg and Macnair, 1992). Arsenate is one of the two inorganic (arsenic combined with oxygen, chlorine, or sulfur) states of arsenic present in soil (Harper and Haswell, 1988).

Table 1. Advatages and limitations of phytoremediation (Laghlimi et al., 2015)

Advantages	**Limitations**
Cost	***Time***
– Low Capital and Operating Cost;	– Slower compared to other techniques and seasonally dependent;
– Metal Recycling provides further economic advantages.	– Hyperaccumulators tend to grow slow in actual operation.
Performance	
– Permanent treatment solution;	– Not capable of 100% reduction;
– Capable of remediating bioavailable fraction of contaminants;	– Very high contaminant concentration may be toxic to plants;
– Capable of mineralizing organics;	– Soil phytoremediation is applicable only to surface soils;
– Potential to treat site contaminated with more than one type of pollutant;	– Restricted to sites with low contaminant concentration;
– It is restricted to rooting depth of remediative plants;	– Requires technical strategy, expert project designers with field experience that choose the proper species and cultivars for particular metals and regions.
– Highly-specialized personnel is not required;	
– Can be used for site investigation or after closure.	
Application	
– *In situ* application avoids excavation and transport of polluted media;	– The presence of multiple types of heavy metals and organic contaminants may pose a challenge;
– Relatively easy to implement.	– Climatic conditions are limiting factor.
Environment and Population Impact	
– Reduce risk of spreading the contamination;	– Metals can be transported back to the soil due to decomposition;
– Eliminate secondary air or waterborne wastes;	– Use of invasive alien species can affect biodiversity;
– Public acceptance due to aesthetic reasons.	– Risk of food chain contamination in case of mismanagement and lack of proper care.

Arsenate prevails in aerobic conditions. Albeit, Pierce and Moore (1982) revealed that it is less toxic than the other species (Arsenite), its bioaccumulation disrupts phosphate metabolism in the event known as hydrolytic process which initiates by replacing phosphate with arsenate (Oremland and Stolz, 2003). Arsenite on the other hand causes indirect plant death. It reacts with enzymes and plant tissue proteins which inhibits its function (Meharg and Whitaker, 2002; Leonard and Lauwerys, 1980). It also induces intrachromosomal homologous recombination

(Helleday et al., 2000) and generates reactive oxygen species (Chou et al., 2004). United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regarded these inorganic arsenic (Arsenate and Arsenite) as major environmental pollutant based on their evaluation (USEPA, 2001; Johnson and Derosa, 1995). Extraction of this metalloid increases the feasibility of revegetating the area. For now, remediation technologies for removal of arsenic in the area are expensive. Thus, the use of phytoextraction has become an option for its rehabilitation.

Lead

Lead (Pb) is an extremely persistent anthropogenic heavy metal that accumulates in soils, sediments, and water (Traunfeld and Clement, 2001; Sharma and Dubey, 2005). It has no biological function and it is toxic to living organisms even at low concentrations (Antosiewicz 1992; Xiong 1998; Fahr et Al, 2013). Lead uptake affects plant processes. Photosynthesis was found to be most affected by lead contamination (Singh et al., 1997). Mining contributes to the presence of high Pb levels in an area (Mukai, et al., 2001; Sharma and Dubey, 2005) and this will never return to normal without remedial action (Traunfeld and Clement, 2001). The remediation of lead affected areas are carried out by narrow range of technologies (Salt et al., 1995). Among these technologies, phytoremediation provides better hopes for the clean-up of lead contaminated soils (Hussain et al., 2013).

Mercury

Mercury (Hg) was known for the Minamata incident (1950). It caused 2,265 casualties just because of their direct exposure to the heavy metal (Zahir et al., 2005). It has been a priority toxic substance in many countries (Gaudet et al., 1995). Its high solubility in water and easy shift to gaseous phase (Clarkson and Magos, 2006) aggravates its ability to spread and remain in an area (Yang et al., 2008). Among metals, Hg is unique being the only one to exist in a volatile liquid form, in room temperature. Its liquid form releases a monoatomic gas commonly referred to as Hg vapour. This species plays a vital role in the global heavy metal cycle for it can exist as a cation with an oxidation state of Hg^{+} (mercurous) and Hg^{2+} (mercuric) (Boening, 2000; Clarkson and Magos, 2006). Among the two, mercuric is a more pervasive cation since it is formed from vapour Hg and from organic compunds of Hg. This properties made Hg^{2+} a keystone in Hg cycle and the in the toxicology of this heavy metal in living organisms.

Phytotoxicity of Hg can be through alteration of cell membrane permeability , high affinity to react with the sulphydryl (SH) groups, affinity for reacting with phosphate groups, and the replacement of essential ions and its ability to disrupt functions involving critical or non-protected proteins (Patra and Sharma, 2000; Patra et al., 2004). It is also known to interrupt antioxidant defense system by modulating enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants (Sparks, 2005; Villasante et al., 2005; Israr et al., 2006). According to Boening (1999), Hg exposure can also reduce photosynthesis, transpiration rate, water uptake, chlorophyll synthesis and can cause loss of potassium, magnesium, manganese , which explains the changes in cell membrane permeability (Azevedo and Rodriguez, 2012), and accumulation of iron.

Metallophytes in the tropics

Each plant species has a specific threshold value for each heavy metal it absorbs (Ernst, 1982). Plants specifically adapted to life on heavy metal-rich soils ("heavy metal soils") are termed metallophytes. Metallophytes exhibit phytoremediation techniques to survive. For a high chance of success in any phytoremediation activity, metallophytes that will be used should be well adapted to the climate in the area. Thus, metallophytes to be used in the Bagacay mine should be tropical species.

Ferns

There were promising reports about these species. *Pteris vittata (Pteridaceae)*, one species of fern, is tolerant of soil conditions with up to 1,500 ppm of arsenic and can efficiently translocate the heavy metal from roots to fronds (Ma et al., 2001). Using phytoextraction, it extracts a pollutant from a surrounding area and accumulates it in a harvestable part of a plant (Blake, 2006). *Pteris vittata* is unique as an effective hypperaccumulator. It is versatile, resilient, grows rapidly with large biomass, and is perennial in nature. And like other hypperaccumulators, this fern has an efficient root uptake system, efficient root to shoot translocation, and much-enhanced tolerance to arsenic inside plant cells (Wang et al., 2002). Aside from *Pteris vittata*, other *Pteris* species like *Pteris cretica, Pteris longifolia, Pteris umbrosa, Pteris multifada,* and *Pteris oshimensis* are also capable hypperaccumulators. (Zhao et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2006).

Another species of fern that has been studied thoroughly in the field of green remediation is the

Athyrium wardii (*Woodsiaceae*), a perennial plant that grows in fascicles. These fern also has suitable features for phytostabilization because of its well-developed root system, high biomass, and ability to maintain high content of Pb in its root tissue (Zou et al., 2010). Unlike the *Pteris vitatta*, *A. wardii* uses the method of phytostabilization. Phytostabilization is a type of phytoremediation were the metals are sequestered in the roots and rhizosphere (Mendez and Maier, 2008). According to Zou et al. (2010), they were able to identify a Mining Ecotype (ME) of *A. wardii* species growing in Lead-Zinc Mine tailings in Sichuan Province of China and was able to accumulate as much as 15, 542 ppm of lead in its roots. Further, selection of the ME *A. wardii* should be considered because study revealed that the response of ME was quite different from the Non-mining Ecotype of this species. ME showed less decline in biomass and less damage when subjected to Pb stress (Zou et al., 2010).

Indian Mustard

Several *Brassica (Brassicaceae)* species were already evaluated as potential phytoextracting plants (Van Ginneken et al., 2007; Gall and Rajakaruna, 2013). The Indian Mustard (Brassica juncea), a non-hyperaccumulating species for extracting heavy metals belongs to this genus. Among non-hyperaccumulating crops with high shoot dry matter yields, the Indian Mustard was identified as a species able to accumulate Cd, Cu, Ni, Zn, Pb and Se in its above-ground parts (Haag-Kerwer et al., 1999). It is tolerant to heavy metals, fast growing and produces relatively large amount of above-ground biomass. These characters made these species a target for phytoremediation potential studies (Bhuiyan et al., 2011). Being cultivated mainly for its oil, its use in removing the heavy metals in the mine can be a good solution to bring back the economic value of the area (Witters et al., 2012).

Vetiver

Vetiver (*Chrysopogon zizanioides*) grass is known for its effectiveness in erosion control. Discovering that vetiver can tolerate extreme climatic and soil conditions, to include heavy metals (Troung, 1996; Troung and Baker, 1998; Zheng et al., 1998; Roongtanakiat and Chairoj, 2001), many studies on the use of vetiver in phytoremediation occured. Later, it was discovered that vetiver is a non-hyperaccumulator (Greenfield, 2002; Roongtanakiat, 2006). In the study of Alves et al. (2016), vetiver showed dry matter production at Lead (Pb) concentrations higher than 350 ppm.

Conclusion

Phytoremediation does not just answer the problem of the abandoned bagacay mine but also solves the current mining problems being faced by the nation. Thus, intensive research for each tropical metallophytes should be conducted. Although the paper focused on the available tropical species for removing non-essential heavy metals in the area for it to be considered in the next phytoremediation activities to be done, it was not able to provide a metallophyte for the removal of mercury (Hg). To date, mercury (Hg) accumulators and hyperaccumulators are still to be discovered. Further, extracting the excessive amount of essential heavy metals in the area should be studied.

References

Adriano, D.C. 1986. Trace elements in the terrestrial environment. New York: Springer. p. 867.

Adriano, D.C. 2001. Trace elements in industrial environments: Biogeochemistry, bioavailability and risks of metals. 2nd edition. New York: Springer-Verlag.

Alves, J.C., Souza, A.P., Pôrto, M.L., Fontes, R.L.F., Arruda, J. and Marques, L.F. 2016. Potential of sunflower, castor bean, common buckwheat and vetiver as lead phytoaccumulators. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental* 20 (3): 243–249.

Antosiewicz, D.M. 1992. Adaptation of plants to an environment polluted with heavy metals. *Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae* 61:2811-919.

Arbaoui, S., Evlard, A., Mhamdi, M.W., Campanella, B., Paul, R. and Bettaieb, T. 2013. Potential of Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus L.*) and Corn (*Zea mays L.*) for phytoremediation of dredging sludge contaminated by trace metals. *Biodegradation* 24(4):563-567.

Asher, C.J. and Reay, P.F. 1979. Arsenic uptake by barley hordeum-vulgare cultivar zephyr seedlings. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 6: 459-466.

Azevedo, R. and Rodriguez, E. 2012. Phytotoxicity of Mercury in Plants: A Review. *Journal of Botany* Volume 2012 (2012), Article ID 848614, 6 pages, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/848614

Bhuiyan, M.S.U., Min, S.R., Jeong, W.J., Sultana, S., Choi, K.S., Lee, Y. and Liu, J.R. 2011. Overexpression of atatm3 in *Brassica Juncea* confers enhanced heavy metal tolerance and accumulation. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 107: 69–77.

Blake, C. 2006. Optimizing the use of arsenic-hyperaccumulating ferns for treatment of arsenic-contaminated water. University of Pittsburg, School of Enginnering. pp. 5-13.

Boening, D.W. 2000. Ecological effects, transport, and fate of mercury: a general review. *Chemosphere* 40 (12): 1335–1351.

Boening, D.W. 1999. Ecological effects, transport, and fate of mercury: a general review. *Chemosphere* 40 (12): 1335–1351.

Chou, W., Jie, C., Kenedy, A., Jones, R.J., Trush, M.A. and Dang, C.V. 2004. Role of NADPH oxidase in arsenic-induced reactive oxygen species formation and cytotoxicity in myeloid leukemia cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 101, pp. 4578-4583.

Clarkson, T.W. and Magos, L. 2006. The toxicology of mercury and its chemical compounds. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology* 36(8): 609–662.

Davies, P. 2013. Oil Bearing seasonal crops in India: energy and phytoremediation potential. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management* 7 (3): 338-354.

Dickinson, N.M., Baker, A.J.M., Doronila, A., Laidlaw, S. and Reeves, R.D. 2009. Phytoremediation of inorganics: realism and synergies. *International Journal of Phytoremediation* 11(2): 97-114.

Dudka, S. and Miller, W.P. 1999. Permissible concentrations of arsenic and lead in soil based on risk assessment. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution* 113: 127-132.

Ensley, B.D. 2000. Rationale for use of phytoremediation. In: Raskin I, Ensley BD. (ed.) Phytoremediation of toxic metals. Using plants to clean up the environment. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. p. 3-11.

Ernst, W.H.O. 1982. Schwermetallpflanzen. In: Kinzel H (ed) Pflanzenokologie und Minera Stoffwechsel. Ulmer, Stuttgart, pp 472–506.

Fahr, M., Laplaze, L., Bendaou, N., Hocher, V., El Mzibri, M., Bogusz, D. and Smouni. A. 2013. Effect of lead on root growth. *Frontier in Plant Science* 4:175. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00175

Gall, J.E. and Rajakaruna, N. 2013. The physiology, functional genomics, and applied ecology of heavy metal-tolerant brassicaceae. In Brassicaceae: Characterization, Functional Genomics and Health Benefits; Lang, M., Ed.; Nova Science Publishers: Hauppauge, NY, USA. pp. 121–148.

Gaudet, C., Lingard, S., Cureton, P., Keenleyside, K., Smithe, S. and Raju, G. 1995. Canadian environmental quality guidelines for mercury. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution* 80: 1149–1159.

Gonzaga, M.I., Santos J. A. and Ma, L.Q. 2006. Review: arsenic phytoextraction and hyperaccumulation by fern species. *Scientia Agricola (Piracicaba, Braz.)* 63(1): 90-101.

Greenfield, J.C. 2002. Vetiver Grass: An Essential for Conservation of Planet Earth. Infinity Publishing. Haverford, PA. USA. pp. 241

Haag-Kerwer, A., Schäfer, H.J., Heiss, S., Walter, C. and Rausch, T. 1999. Cadmium exposure in *Brassica juncea* causes a decline in transpiration rate and leaf expansion without effect on photosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 50: 1827-1835.

Harper, M. and Haswell, S.J. 1988. A comparison of copper, lead and arsenic extraction from polluted and unpolluted soils. *Environmental Technology Letters* 9: 1271-1280.

Helleday, T., Nilsson, R. and Jenssen, D. 2000. Arsenic (III) and heavy metal ions induce intrachromosomal homologous recombination in the hprt gene of V79 Chinese hamster cells. *Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis* 35: 114-122.

Holden, W.N. (2012). Ecclesial Opposition to Large-Scale Mining on Samar: Neoliberalism Meets the Church of the Poor in a Wounded Land. *Religions* 2012, *3*, 833–861; doi:10.3390/rel3030833

Hussain, A., Abbas, N., Arshad, F., Akram, M., Khan, Z.I., Ahmad, K., Mansha, M. and Mirzaei, F. 2013. Effects of diverse doses of Lead (Pb) on different growth attributes of *Zea-Mays* L. *Agricultural Sciences* 4(5): 262-265.

Israr, M., Sahi, S., Datta, R. and Sarkar, D. 2006. Bioaccumulation and physiological effects of mercury in *Sesbania drummondii*. *Chemosphere* 65 (4): 591–598.

Johnson, B.L. and Derosa, C.T. 1995. Chemical mixtures released from hazardous waste sites: Implications for health risk assessment. *Toxicology* 105: 145-156.

Kabata-Pendias, A. and Pendias, H. 2001. Trace elements in soils and plants. Boca Raton: CRC Press. p. 413

Khan, S., Aijun, L., Zhang, S., Hu, Q. and Zhu, Y.G. 2008. Accumulation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and heavy metals in lettuce grown in the soils contaminated with long-term wastewater irrigation. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 152: 506–515.

Khan, S., Cao, Q., Zheng, Y.M., Huang, Y.Z. and Zhu, Y.G. 2008. Health risks of heavy metals in contaminated soils and food crops irrigated with wastewater in Beijing, China. *Environmental Pollution* 152: 686–692.

Kramer, U. 2005. Phytoremediation: novel approaches to cleaning up polluted soils. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 16: 133-141.

Laghlimi, M., Baghdad, B., El Hadi, H. and Bouabdli, A. 2015. Phytoremediation mechanisms of heavy metal contaminated soils: A review. *Open journal of Ecology* 5: 375-388.

Lee, R.B. 1982. Selectivity and kinetics of ion uptake by barley plants following nutrient deficiency. *Annals of Botany* 50: 429-449.

Lenntech Water Treatment and Air Purification. 2004. Water treatment. Lenntech, Rotterdamseweg, Netherlands (http://www. excelwater.com/thp/filters/Water-Purification.htm)

Leonard, A. and Lauwerys, R. 1980. Carcinogenicity, teratogenicity, and mutagenecity of arsenic. *Mutation Research* 75: 49-62

Ma, L.Q., Komar, K.M., Tu, C., Zhang, W., Cai, Y. and Kennelley, E.D. 2001. A fern that hyperaccumulates arsenic, *Nature* 409: 579.

Matschullat, J. 2000. Arsenic in the geosphere—a review. *Science of the Total Environment* 249: 297-312.

McGrath, S.P. 1998. Phytoextraction for soil remediation. In: Brooks RR. (ed.) Plants that hyperaccumulate heavy metals: their role in phytoremediation, microbiology, archaeology, mineral exploration and phytomining. New York: CAB International. pp. 261-287.

Meharg, A.A. and MacNair, M.R. 1992. Suppression of the high-affinity phosphate uptake system: a mechanism of arsenate tolerance in *Holcus lanatus* L. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 43: 519- 524.

Meharg, A.A. and Hartley-Whitaker, J. 2002. Arsenic uptake and metabolism in arsenic-resistant and nonresistant plant species. *New Phytologist* 154: 29-43.

Mendez, M.O. and Maier, R.M. 2008. Phytoremediation of mine tailings in arid and semi-arid environments – an emerging remediation technology. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 116: 278-283.

Mines and Geosciences Bureau-Mining Environment and Safety Division (MGB-MESD). 2006. Environmental Assessment of Abandoned Bagacay Mine relative to the Proposed Interim Remediation Measures of the World Bank Supported Project. North Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City.

Mukai, H., Tanaka, A., Fujii, T., Zeng, Y., Hong, Y., Tang, J., Guo, S., Xue, H., Sun, Z., Zhou, J., Xue, D., Zhao, J., Xhai, G., Gu, J. and Zhai, P. 2001. Regional characteristics of sulfur and lead isotope ratios in the atmosphere at several Chinese urban sites. *Environmental Science & Technology* 35(6): 1064–1071.

Nenova, V. and Bogoeva, I. 2013. Separate and combined effects of excess copper and Fusarium culmorum infection on growth and antioxidative enzymes in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) plants. *Journal of Plant Interactions* 9 (1): 259-266.

Oremland, R.S. and Stolz, J.F. 2003. The ecology of arsenic. *Science* 300: 939-944.

Pahlsson, A.B. 1989. Toxicity of heavy metals (Zn, Cu, Cd, Pb) to vascular plants: A literature review. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution* 47: 287-319.

Patra, M. and Sharma, A. 2000. Mercury toxicity in plants. *Botanical Review* 66 (3): 379–422.

Patra, M., Bhowmik, N., Bandopadhyay, B. and Sharma, A. 2004. Comparison of mercury, lead and arsenic with respect to genotoxic effects on plant systems and the development of genetic tolerance. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 52(3): 199–223.

Pierce, M.L. and Moore, C.B. 1982. Adsorption of arsenite and arsenate on amorphous iron hydroxide. *Water Residue* 16: 1247-1253.

Prasad, M.N.V. 2004. Phytoremediation of metals and radionuclides in the environment: the case for natural hyperaccumulators, metal transporters, soil-amending chelators and transgenic plants. Heavy metal stress in plants: from biomolecules to ecosystems, Second Edition. Berlin: Springer. pp. 345-391.

Rathinasabapathi, B., Ma, L.Q. and Srivastava, M. 2006. Arsenic accumulating ferns and their application to phytoremediation of arsenic contaminated sites. Floriculture, Ornamental and Plant Biotechnology Volume III ©2006 Global Science Books, UK.

Robson, A.D., Reuther, D.J. 1981. Diagnosis of copper deficiency and toxicity. In: Loneragan JF, Robson AD, Graham RD (Ed.). Copper in soils and plants. Orlando: Academic Press. pp. 287-312.

Roongtanakiat, N. and Chairoj, P. 2001. Uptake potential of some heavy metals by vetiver grass. *Kasetsart Journal-Natural Science* 35: 46-50.

Roongtanakiat, N. 2006. Vetiver in Thailand: General aspects and basic studies. *Kasetsart University Science Journal* 24: 13–19.

Salt, D.E., Blaylock, M., Kumar, P.B.A.N., Dushenkov, V., Ensley, B.D., Chet, I. and Raskin, I. 1995. Phytoreme-diation: A novel strategy for the removal of toxic metals from the environment using plants, *Biotechnology* 13: 468-475.

Salt, D.E., Smith, R.D. and Raskin, I. 1998. Phytoremediation. *Annual Review in Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 49: 643-668.

Sheppard, S.C. 1992. Summary of phytotoxic levels of soil arsenic. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution 64: 539-550.

Sherameti, I. and Varma, A. 2011. Detoxification of Heavy Metals. Soil Biology 30, DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-21408-0_2, Copyright: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 35.

Singh, R.P., Tripathi, R.D., Sinha, S.K., Maheshwari, R. and Srivastava, H.S. 1997. Response of higher plants to lead contaminated environments. *Chemosphere* 34:2467-93.

Sparks, D. L. 2005. Toxic metals in the environment: the role of surfaces. *Elements* 1 (4): 193–197.

Troung, P. N.V. and Baker, D. 1998. Vetiver grass for the stabilization and rehabilitation of acid sulfate soils. In Proceedings of Second National Conference on Acid Sulfate Soils. Coffs Harbour. pp. 196-198

Troung, P.N.V. 1996. Vetiver grass for land rehabilitation. In Vetiver : a Miracle Grass (Proceedings of the First Int. Conference on Vetiver, Chiang Rai, Thailand, 4-8 Feb. 1996). N. Chomchalow and H.V. Henle (eds). Office of the Royal Development Projects Board, Bangkok. pp. 49-56

USEPA. 2001. U.S.EPA Workshop on managing arsenic risks to the environment: Characterization of waste, chemistry, and treatment and disposal. May 2001, Denver, Co, pp 107. http://www.epa.gov/ord/ NRMRL/pubs/625r03010/625r03010total (pdf).

Van Ginneken, L., Meers, E., Guisson, R., Ruttens, A., Elst, K., Tack, F.M.G., Vangronsveld, J., Diels, L. and Dejonghe, W. 2007. Phytoremediation for heavy metal-contaminated soils combined with bioenergy production. *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Landscape Management* 15: 227–236.

Villasante, C.O., Alvarez, R.R., Del Campo, F.F., Ruiz, R.O.C. and Hernandez, L.E. 2005. Cellular damage induced by cadmium and mercury in Medicago sativa. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 56 (418): 2239–2251.

Wainwright, S.J. and Woolhouse, H.W. 1977. Some physiological aspects of copper and zinc tolerance in Agrostis tenuis Sibth: Cell elongation and membrane damage. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 28:1029-1036.

Wang, H.B., Ye, Z.H., Shu, W.S., Li, W.C., Wong, M.H. and Yan, C.Y. 2006. Arsenic uptake and accumulation in fern species growing at arsenic-contaminated sites of Southern China: Field surveys. *International Journal of Phytoremediation* 8: 1-11.

Wang, J., Zhao, F.J., Meharg, A.A., Raab, A., Feldmann, J. and McGrath, S.P. 2002. Mechanisms of arsenic hyperaccumulation in *Pteris vittata*. Uptake kinetics, interactions with phosphate, and arsenic speciation. *Plant Physiology* 130: 1552-1561.

Watanabe, M.E. 1997. Phytoremediation on the brink of commercialization. *Environmental Science and Technology* 31: 182-186.

Witters, N., Mendelsohn, R.O., van Slycken, S., Weyens, N., Schreurs, E., Meers, E., Tack, F., Carleer, R. and Vangronsveld, J. 2012. Phytoremediation, a sustainable remediation technology? Conclusions from a case study. I: Energy production and carbon dioxide abatement. *Biomass Bioenergy* 39: 454–469.

Xiong, Z.T. 1998. Lead uptake and effects on seed germination and plant growth of a Pb accumulator, *Brassica perkinensis* Rupr. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 60:285-91.

Yang, D.Y., Chen, Y.W., Gunn, J.M. and Belzile, N. 2008. Selenium and mercury in organisms: interactions and mechanisms. *Environmental Reviews* 16: 71–92.

Yruela, I. 2005. Copper in plants. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology* 17(1):145-156.

Zahir F., Rizwi S.J., Haq S. K. and Khan R.H. 2005. Low dose mercury toxicity and human health. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology* 20(2): 351–360.

Zhao, F.J., Dunham, S.J. and McGrath, S.P. 2002. Arsenic hyperaccumulation by different fern species. *New Phytologist* 156: 27-31.

Zheng, C.R., Tu, C. and Chen, H.M. 1998. A preliminary study on purification of vetiver for eutrophic water. In Vetiver Research and Development. China Agricultural Science and Technology Press, Beijing. pp. 81-84.

Zou, T., Li, T., Zhang, X., Yu, H. and Luo, H. 2010. Lead accumulation tolerance characteristics of *Athyrium wardii* (Hook.) as a potential phytostabilizer. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 186: 683-689.

Zurynski, Y. 2014. Writing a Systematic Literature Review: Resources for Students and Trainees. Australian Paediatric Surveillance Unit. http://www.apsu.org.au. Taken: 4 March 2017.